



LB≡BW

To the point!

Cross-Asset- and Strategy-Research

No need to expand the ECB toolbox

Attempts to cap government bond spreads are dangerous

The ECB's monetary policy turnaround remains piecemeal. At its meeting yesterday, the Governing Council met the minimal expectations: an end to net purchases of government bonds and the smallest possible interest rate hike of 25 basis points in six weeks time. A victory of the hawks would have looked different.

During the press conference president Lagarde hinted at the introduction of another monetary policy instrument, which would allow the ECB to selectively purchase government bonds of an individual member country. The ECB could choose to use such a new tool if it were to hold the view that the capital market risk premiums ("spreads") represent an undesirable financial fragmentation of the Euro area.

The proposed tool is unclear, dangerous and unnecessary

Unclear! The design of the instrument remains vague. According to which criteria should the envisaged new tool be used? At what risk premium would ECB intervention be necessary? Is a risk premium of Italian over German government bonds of 3% "too high"? Or 2.5%? And would it make a difference if, despite widening spreads, the inflation-adjusted interest rates payable by, say, Italy are still negative, as they are at present? Do the same rules apply for all, or do the "permitted" spreads rather depend on the diverging credit risk of member countries? Could this then lead to profit-oriented U.S. rating agencies having through their decisions an even greater influence on the conduct of European monetary policy? And will the ECB intervene to support the market even if the widening of risk premiums is self-inflicted, such as, for example, after the 2018 general elections in



Moritz Kraemer -- Chief Economist

LBBWResearch@LBBW.de

June 10, 2022

Monetary and fiscal policy would become harder to disentangle

The proposal of a spread instrument raises more questions than it answers

Italy, when chaotic coalition talks spooked investors? Questions upon questions, all of which await answers and fuel speculation.

Dangerous! An instrument to cap spreads would stunt incentives to implement economic policy reforms. Why should, say, Italy implement unpopular measures to boost growth or consolidate public finances if the ECB issues an insurance policy protecting the government from adverse capital market reactions? I see furthermore an acute risk that the German Constitutional Court will classify the spread instrument as direct government financing, which is expressly forbidden by the EU Treaty. Such a judgement would massively damage the credibility of the ECB. And it would likely encourage investors to sell off peripheral bonds. The opposite of what the ECB wants.

Unnecessary! With the instrument of Outright Monetary Transactions (OMT), the ECB already has a hitherto unused but constitutionally validated tool at its disposal through which it can purchase government bonds of individual countries in a targeted manner. However, OMT purchases would have to be accompanied by policy conditionality on those countries that want to benefit from OMT. The OMT option, including conditionality, was introduced in 2012 by then-ECB president Mario Draghi. It is therefore a little ironic that resistance against conditionality is particularly vocal in Italy, a country now run by Prime Minister Draghi. I guess it's all a matter of perspective...

There is no way back now

Even if the new spread instrument is conceptually counterproductive, it will be next to impossible to walk away from the expectations that have now been raised of a "Lagarde-put" that would cap interest rates of sovereign bonds. By renegeing on the spread instrument, the ECB would likely provoke the very sovereign debt crisis that the new tool precisely seeks to prevent.

Disclaimer:

This publication is addressed exclusively at recipients in the EU, Switzerland and Liechtenstein.

This publication is not being distributed by LBBW to any person in the United States and LBBW does not intend to solicit any person in the United States.

LBBW is under the supervision of the European Central Bank (ECB), Sonnemannstraße 22, 60314 Frankfurt/Main (Germany) and the German Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (BaFin), Graurheindorfer Str. 108, 53117 Bonn (Germany) / Marie-Curie-Str. 24-28, 60439 Frankfurt/Main (Germany).

This publication is based on generally available sources which we are not able to verify but which we believe to be reliable. Nevertheless, we assume no liability for the accuracy and completeness of this publication. It conveys our non-binding opinion of the market and the products at the time of the editorial deadline, irrespective of any own holdings in these products. This publication does not replace individual advice. It serves only for informational purposes and should not be seen as an offer or request for a purchase or sale. For additional, more timely information on concrete investment options and for individual investment advice, please contact your investment advisor.

We retain the right to change the opinions expressed herein at any time and without prior notice. Moreover, we retain the right not to update this information or to stop such updates entirely without prior notice.

Past performance, simulations and forecasts shown or described in this publication do not constitute a reliable indicator of future performance.

The acceptance of provided research services by a securities services company can qualify as a benefit in supervisory law terms. In these cases LBBW assumes that the benefit is intended to improve the quality of the relevant service for the customer of the benefit recipient.

ECB "interest rate insurance" undermines economic reforms incentives
