

17 MAR 2022

## Fitch Affirms LBBW at 'A-'; Outlook Stable

Fitch Ratings - Frankfurt am Main - 17 Mar 2022: Fitch Ratings has affirmed Landesbank Baden-Wuerttemberg's (LBBW) support-driven Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) at 'A-' with a Stable Outlook and Viability Rating (VR) at 'bbb'. A full list of rating actions is detailed below.

Fitch has withdrawn the bank's Support Rating following the update of the agency's Bank Rating Criteria on 12 November 2021 as it is no relevant to the agency's coverage. In line with the updated criteria, we have assigned LBBW a Shareholder Support Rating (SSR) of 'a-'.

### Key Rating Drivers

LBBW's Long-Term IDR is driven by its SSR, which reflects a strong likelihood of support from the bank's owners, the regional members of Germany's savings banks group Sparkassen-Finanzgruppe (SFG; A+/Stable), the State of Baden-Wuerttemberg and the City of Stuttgart.

LBBW's VR is one notch below the implied 'bbb+' rating, due to the bank's subdued average profitability, which we score at 'bbb-'. The VR also reflects the bank's exposure to cyclical corporate sectors, strong asset-quality metrics and adequate capitalisation. LBBW's announced acquisition of Berlin Hyp AG (A+/RWN) is neutral to our assessment of LBBW's standalone credit strength.

**High Likelihood of Support:** Fitch's support assumptions are underpinned by LBBW's focus on its statutory roles, which include supporting the regional economy as well as acting as the central institution for regional savings banks and as house bank for its federal state owners, and by provisions contained in the statutes of the institutional protection scheme (IPS) of SFG and the Landesbanken.

Fitch uses SFG's Long-Term IDR as the anchor rating for determining LBBW's support-driven ratings. Fitch believes support would need to be forthcoming from both SFG and the State of Baden Wuerttemberg to avoid triggering state-aid considerations and resolution under the German Recovery and Resolution Act if LBBW fails.

We notch LBBW's SSR down twice from SFG's 'A+' to reflect regulatory restrictions to support, due to the requirement for state-aid examination under EU competition rules. The two-notch difference also reflects LBBW's strategic, but not key and integral role, for its owners. The Short-Term IDR of 'F1' is the higher of two possible ratings that map to a 'A-' Long-Term IDR, because LBBW has privileged access to SFG's ample liquidity and funding, and propensity of support by its owners is more certain in the near term.

**High Sector Concentrations:** LBBW's strong regional corporate-banking franchise benefits from Baden Wuerttemberg's large economy. However, this regional focus leads to concentrations on cyclical

industries and, to some extent, on large borrowers, in particular in commercial real-estate and automotive, the latter being reduced. Stiff competition in most of LBBW's business lines limits the bank's pricing power and weighs on profitability.

**Low Impaired Loans:** The bank's exposure to cyclical industries is higher than that of peers, and asset quality is sensitive to global supply chain disruptions, input price shocks and structural changes. We expect loan impairment charges (LICs) and Stage 3 loans to rise in 2022 from their exceptionally low level at end-2021, but its four-year average Stage 3 ratio should remain below 2% in the next two years, a level we view as commensurate with LBBW's VR.

**Subdued Profitability:** LBBW's profitability is burdened by its high costs and low margins. We expect operating profits/risk-weighted assets (RWAs) to decline in 2022 from their exceptional high level in 2021 due to weaker market conditions, muted loan growth and potentially higher LICs. We believe that LBBW's revenue will gradually improve in the long term as the bank executes on its growth strategy and interest-rate pressure eases, compensating for regulatory RWA inflation.

**Adequate Capitalisation:** We expect LBBW's common equity Tier 1 (CET1) ratio to remain comfortably above its 13% strategic target after the acquisition of Berlin Hyp is finalised. We view this level as adequate, but not strong, because of the bank's business model, which exposes LBBW to potential cyclical performance swings. Stronger profit retention will be key in offsetting higher RWAs from the execution of the bank's growth strategy and the phasing-in of the Basel output floor starting in 2025.

## Rating Sensitivities

### Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

A downgrade of SFG's IDRs, or a change in the ownership structure or in the owners' strategic commitment to the bank could lead to a downgrade of LBBW's IDRs and SSR.

We believe that LBBW's VR has sufficient headroom at the current level to absorb moderate deterioration of asset quality and capitalisation. The VR would likely be downgraded if we expect the bank's Stage 3 loans ratio to rise to and remain above 3%, or if the CET1 ratio falls durably below 12.5%.

### Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

An upgrade of the IDRs and SSR would require an upgrade of SFG's ratings. An upgrade of LBBW's VR would require a limited impact from the economic fallout of the Ukraine-Russia conflict on the bank's asset quality and capitalisation. An upgrade would also be contingent on an improvement in LBBW's profitability, with an average operating profit/RWAs close to 1%, without a material increase in risk appetite.

## OTHER DEBT AND ISSUER RATINGS: KEY RATING DRIVERS

LBBW's long-term deposit rating, senior preferred debt rating and Derivative Counterparty Rating

(DCR) are rated one notch above the Long-Term IDR because of the protection provided by resolution buffers to these preferred creditors. LBBW's senior non-preferred debt rating is in line with its Long-Term IDR.

LBBW's short-term senior preferred and deposit ratings are the lower of the two ratings that map to an 'A' long-term deposit rating. This is because we believe that, despite the owners' very high propensity to provide support to LBBW, we see potential impediments to the prompt flow of funds that are not commensurate with an 'F1+' short-term rating, given the lengthy process required to support a Landesbank.

The 'AAA' ratings of LBBW's grandfathered state-guaranteed senior unsecured and Tier 2 subordinated notes reflect our view of the creditworthiness of the State of Baden Wuerttemberg, which is closely linked to that of Germany (AAA/Stable), and our expectation that Baden Wuerttemberg will honour its guarantee. Fitch believes that the protection provided by the grandfathered guarantee is similar between senior and subordinated debt instruments because the statutory guarantor's liability (Gewahrtraegerhaftung) does not differentiate the seniority among liabilities. In our view regulatory and EU state-aid frameworks do not constrain the level of support for grandfathered debt.

LBBW's subordinated debt is rated two notches below the VR to reflect loss severity that is in line with Fitch's baseline approach.

## **OTHER DEBT AND ISSUER RATINGS: RATING SENSITIVITIES**

### **Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:**

We would downgrade LBBW's DCR, senior debt and deposit ratings if LBBW's Long-Term IDR is downgraded or if the sum of senior non-preferred and more junior debt falls below 10% of RWAs. A downgrade of LBBW's VR would result in a downgrade of the non-guaranteed Tier 2 subordinated notes. The ratings of the grandfathered state-guaranteed senior unsecured and Tier 2 subordinated notes are sensitive to adverse changes in Fitch's view of Baden Wuerttemberg's creditworthiness, which is closely linked to that of Germany.

### **Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:**

An upgrade of LBBW's DCR, senior debt and deposit ratings would require an upgrade of LBBW's Long-Term IDR. An upgrade of LBBW's VR would result in an upgrade of the non-guaranteed Tier 2 subordinated notes. The guaranteed notes cannot be upgraded because the ratings are 'AAA', which is the highest level on Fitch's scale.

## **VR ADJUSTMENTS**

The business profile score of 'bbb' has been assigned below the 'a' category implied score, due to the following adjustment reason: business model (negative)

The asset quality score of 'bbb+' has been assigned below the 'aa' category implied score, due to the

following adjustment reason: concentrations (negative)

The capitalisation and leverage score of 'bbb+' has been assigned below the 'a' category implied score, due to the following adjustment reason: internal capital generation and growth (negative)

The funding and liquidity score of 'bbb+' has been assigned below the 'a' category implied score, due to the following adjustment reason: deposit structure (negative)

## **Best/Worst Case Rating Scenario**

International scale credit ratings of Financial Institutions and Covered Bond issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of four notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579>

## **REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING**

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

## **ESG Considerations**

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3'. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit [www.fitchratings.com/esg](http://www.fitchratings.com/esg)

## **Fitch Ratings Analysts**

### **Patrick Rioual**

Senior Director

Primary Rating Analyst

+49 69 768076 123

Fitch Ratings – a branch of Fitch Ratings Ireland Limited Neue Mainzer Strasse 46 - 50 Frankfurt am Main D-60311

### **Marco Diamantini**

Associate Director

Secondary Rating Analyst

+49 69 768076 114

### **Olivia Perney**

Managing Director  
 Committee Chairperson  
 +33 1 44 29 91 74

## Media Contacts

### Louisa Williams

London  
 +44 20 3530 2452  
 louisa.williams@thefitchgroup.com

## Rating Actions

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING		RECOVERY	PRIOR
Landesbank Baden- Wuerttemberg	LT IDR	A- 	Affirmed	A- 
	ST IDR	F1	Affirmed	F1
	Viability	bbb	Affirmed	bbb
	Support	WD	Withdrawn	1
	DCR	A(dcr)	Affirmed	A(dcr)
	Shareholder Support	a-	New Rating	
	• senior unsecured LT	AAA	Affirmed	AAA
	• subordinated	AAA	Affirmed	AAA
	• Senior LT	A-	Affirmed	A-

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING	RECOVERY	PRIOR
non-preferred			
• subordinated	BB+	Affirmed	BB+
• long-term deposits	LT A	Affirmed	A
• Senior preferred	LT A	Affirmed	A
• short-term deposits	ST F1	Affirmed	F1
• Senior preferred	ST F1	Affirmed	F1

#### RATINGS KEY OUTLOOK WATCH

POSITIVE	⊕	◊
NEGATIVE	⊖	◊
EVOLVING	◊	◆
STABLE	○	

#### Applicable Criteria

[Bank Rating Criteria \(pub.12 Nov 2021\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

## Additional Disclosures

### Solicitation Status

## Endorsement Status

Landesbank Baden-Wuerttemberg    EU Issued, UK Endorsed

## Disclaimer & Copyright

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link: <https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings>. In addition, the following <https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document> details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders'™ relevant interests are available at <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that

neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001.

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the “NRSRO”). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the “non-NRSROs”) and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

Copyright © 2022 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

## **Endorsement policy**

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's [Regulatory Affairs](#) page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.